

Composite Media and Homogenization Theory

An international Centre for
Theoretical Physics Workshop
Trieste, Italy, January 1990

Edited by
Gianni Dal Maso
Gian Fausto Dell'Antonio

Integral Representation of Functionals Defined on Sobolev Spaces

G. ALBERTI, G. BUTTAZZO

Abstract: We give an integral representation result for functionals defined on Sobolev spaces; more precisely, for a functional F , we find necessary and sufficient conditions that imply the integral representation formula

$$F(u, B) = \int_B f(x, Du) dx.$$

1. – Introduction

The problem of representing in an integral form a given functional defined on a function space and satisfying suitable "abstract" conditions, has been considered by several authors in different frameworks (see References). One of the reasons is that it is the key point in many problems of relaxation and Γ -convergence (see for instance [3], [6], [8], [10], [11], [16], [20], [25]); in fact, the relaxed functionals (or the Γ -limits of a sequence of functionals) are merely lower semicontinuous mappings defined on a function space, and the first step in order to get their complete characterization, is just to represent them in a suitable integral form.

The most classical integral representation result is the well-known Riesz theorem which states that every linear continuous map $F : L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written in the form

$$(1.1) \quad F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot u(x) dx$$

for a suitable $f \in L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (with $1/p + 1/q = 1$).

A nonlinear version of the Riesz representation theorem has been also proved (see for instance [14], [25], [28]); it states that every lower semicontinuous map $F : L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ which is disjointly additive in the sense that

$$F(u + v) = F(u) + F(v) \quad \text{whenever } u \cdot v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega$$

can be represented in the form

$$(1.2) \quad F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) dx$$

for a suitable Borel function $f(x, s)$ lower semicontinuous in s and such that

$$f(x, s) \geq -[a(x) + b|s|^p] \quad \text{for all } (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

with $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $b \geq 0$.

Other integral representation results for functionals defined on the space of measures, have also been proved (see [3], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [20], [40]).

In this paper, we deal with functionals $F(u, B)$ defined for every u belonging to a Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and every B belonging to the class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ of all Borel subsets of Ω , and we look for an integral representation of F in the form

$$(1.3) \quad F(u, B) = \int_B f(x, Du(x)) dx$$

for a suitable integrand $f(x, z)$. When F satisfies growth conditions as

$$(1.4) \quad |F(u, B)| \leq \int_B [a(x) + b|Du|^p] dx$$

with $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $b \geq 0$, the integral representation formula (1.3) has been obtained by Buttazzo & Dal Maso in [15], [16] under the following additional hypotheses:

(i) F is local, that is

$$u = v \text{ a.e. on } B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \Rightarrow F(u, B) = F(v, B) ;$$

(ii) for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set function $F(u, \cdot)$ is a measure on $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$;

(iii) for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ we have

$$F(u + c, B) = F(u, B) ;$$

(iv) for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $F(\cdot, B)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

In this case the integrand $f(x, z)$ in (1.3) turns out to be quasi-convex with respect to z in the sense of Morrey [36].

Here we follow a different approach based on a recent result by Alberti (see [1]) concerning a Lusin type property for L^p -functions (Theorem 2.7). This will enable us to obtain the integral representation (1.3) even if the growth condition (1.4) is dropped and condition (iv) is substituted by the weaker one:

(iv') for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $F(\cdot, B)$ is lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with respect to the strong topology.

2. – Notation and Statement of the Result

In this section we fix the notation we shall use in the following and we state our main result. We also recall some other results which will be used in the proofs.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $p \in [1, +\infty]$; we denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ the usual Sobolev space with norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{mn})}.$$

For every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ the gradient $Du(x)$ will be the $m \times n$ matrix defined by $(Du(x))_{i,j} = D_j u_i(x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

We shall consider functionals $F : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ where $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ denotes the class of all Borel subsets of Ω . For this kind of functionals we introduce the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. *We say that a functional $F : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is*

- (i) *local, if $F(u, B) = F(v, B)$ whenever $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $u = v$ a.e. on B ;*
- (ii) *D-local, if $F(u, B) = F(v, B)$ whenever $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $Du = Dv$ a.e. on B ;*
- (iii) *a measure, if for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set function $F(u, \cdot)$ is countably additive on $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$.*

We are now in a position to state our integral representation result.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$, and let $F : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a functional such that:*

- (i) *F is D-local;*
- (ii) *F is a measure;*
- (iii) *for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $F(\cdot, B)$ is lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with respect to the strong topology;*
- (iv) *there exists $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $F(\bar{u}, \cdot)$ is a bounded measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.*

Then there exists a Borel function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that

- (a) for every $x \in \Omega$ the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous on \mathbb{R}^{mn} ;
- (b) for every $(u, B) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ it is

$$F(u, B) = \int_B f(x, Du(x)) dx .$$

Moreover, the integrand f is uniquely determined in the following sense: if g is a Borel function so that (b) holds with g instead of f , then there exists a negligible set $N \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, s) = g(x, s)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus N$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$.

REMARK 2.3. Note that hypotheses (i) and (iv) of Theorem 2.2 yield $F(u, B) = F(\bar{u}, B) = 0$ for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $|B| = 0$.

REMARK 2.4. For simplicity we consider only the case $p < +\infty$; in the case $p = +\infty$ the same result (with the same proof) holds, provided condition (iii) is substituted by the following one:

- (iii') for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $F(\cdot, B)$ is lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with respect to the τ_∞ -convergence, where we say that u_h is τ_∞ -convergent to u if u_h is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, u_h converges to u uniformly on compact subsets of Ω , and Du_h converges to Du a.e. in Ω .

REMARK 2.5. The hypothesis that F is positive can be easily weakened by requiring that for suitable $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $b \geq 0$

$$F(u, B) \geq - \int_B [a(x) + b|Du|^p] dx$$

for all $(u, B) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$.

REMARK 2.6. By Definition 2.1 and by the well-known locality of the gradient (see for instance Gilbarg & Trudinger [27], Lemma 7.7), it follows immediately that D -locality implies locality. The converse implication can be also proved (we refer to Alberti [2] for the proof) provided F satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 2.2 and the invariance condition (iii) stated in the Introduction. Finally, when F satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.2 and the growth condition (1.4), then the locality of F follows from the locality on open sets (see Buttazzo & Dal Maso [15], Lemma 2.8), that is

$F(u, A) = F(v, A)$ whenever A is an open subset of Ω , and $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $u = v$ a.e. on A .

The main tools in the proof of the integral representation Theorem 2.2 are the following results.

THEOREM 2.7. (See Alberti [1], Theorem 1)

For every $v \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{mn})$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a function $u \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and a closed set $B \subset \Omega$ such that

- (i) $|\Omega \setminus B| \leq \varepsilon|\Omega|$;
- (ii) $v = Du$ a.e. in B ;
- (iii) $\|Du\|_p \leq C\varepsilon^{1/p-1}\|v\|_p$ where C is a constant which depends only on n .

THEOREM 2.8. (See Buttazzo & Dal Maso [14])

Let $m \geq 1$ be a given integer and let $F : L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a functional such that

- (i) for all $v \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, the function $F(v, \cdot)$ is a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure;
- (ii) F is local, that is $F(v, B) = F(v', B)$ whenever $v = v'$ a.e. in B ;
- (iii) for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $F(\cdot, B)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to strong topology of $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$;
- (iv) there exists $\bar{v} \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $F(\bar{v}, \Omega) < +\infty$.

Then there exists a Borel function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that

- (a) for every $x \in \Omega$ the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous on \mathbb{R}^m ;
- (b) for every $(v, B) \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ it is

$$F(v, B) = \int_B f(x, v(x)) dx .$$

3. – Proof of the Result

For the sake of simplicity we always refer to $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $W^{1,p}$, to $C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ as C_0^1 and to $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{mn})$ as L^p .

Let F be a functional on $W^{1,p}$ satisfying conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 2.2. We shall apply Theorem 2.7 to find a functional G on L^p which satisfies hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 2.8 and such that

$$F(u, B) = G(Du, B) \quad \text{for all } (u, B) \in W^{1,p} \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $v \in L^p$ and let $(u_h, B_h) \in W^{1,p} \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that (u_h, B_h) is a local partition of v if

- (3.1) the sets B_h are pairwise disjoint and cover almost all of Ω ;
- (3.2) $Du_h = v$ a.e. in B_h for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. For every $v \in L^p$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a local partition (u_h, B_h) of v such that

$$|\Omega \setminus B_0| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/p-1}\|v\|_p$$

where C is a constant which does not depend on v .

PROOF. Fix $v \in L^p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 2.7 there exist functions $u_h \in C_0^1$ and closed sets $A_h \subset \Omega$ such that

- (i) $|\Omega \setminus A_h| < \varepsilon 2^{-h}$ for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) $Du_h = v$ a.e. in A_h ;
- (iii) $\|Du_h\|_p \leq C(\varepsilon|\Omega|^{-1}2^{-h})^{1/p-1}\|v\|_p$,

where C is the constant of Theorem 2.7.

Setting for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$B_h = A_h \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{h-1} A_j$$

it is easy to verify that $|\Omega \setminus \bigcup_0^\infty B_h| = 0$. Moreover, by Poincaré inequality, we get

$$\|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C'\|Du_0\|_p \leq C'C|\Omega|^{1-1/p}\varepsilon^{1/p-1}\|v\|_p$$

where C' is a constant which does not depend on v . Hence Proposition 3.2 is proved. \square

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $v \in L^p$ and let (u_h, A_h) and (u'_k, A'_k) be two local partitions of v . Then it is

$$F(u_h, B) = F(u'_k, B)$$

for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all Borel sets $B \subset A_h \cap A'_k$. In particular, for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u'_k, B \cap A'_k).$$

PROOF. Since $v = Du_h = Du'_k$ a.e. in $A_h \cap A'_k$, by hypothesis (i) of Theorem 2.2 we obtain that $F(u_h, B) = F(u'_k, B)$ for all integers h, k and all Borel sets $B \subset A_h \cap A'_k$. Taking into account (3.1) and Remark 2.3, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h) &= \sum_{h, k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k) \\ &= \sum_{h, k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u'_k, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u'_k, B \cap A'_k). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.4. For all $(v, B) \in L^p \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ define

$$(3.4) \quad G(v, B) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h)$$

where (u_h, A_h) is a local partition of v . We have:

- (i) G is well-defined, in the sense that $G(v, B)$ does not depend on the choice of the local partition of v ;
- (ii) for all $(u, B) \in W^{1,p} \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ it is $F(u, B) = G(Du, B)$.

PROOF. The fact that G is well-defined follows from Proposition 3.3. In order to prove (ii), set $(u_0, A_0) = (u, \Omega)$ and $(u_h, A_h) = (0, \emptyset)$ for $h \geq 1$ and note that this is a local partition for Du . By the definition of G we have

$$G(Du, B) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h) = F(u, B) \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.5. For all $v \in W^{1,p}$ the function $G(v, \cdot)$ is a positive measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

PROOF. Let v be a function in L^p , let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, and let $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a partition of B into Borel sets. If (u_h, A_h) is a local partition of v , by using the definition of G , hypothesis (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.2, and Remark 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G(v, B_k) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B_k \cap A_h) \right] \\ &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B_k \cap A_h) \right] \\ &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h) = G(v, B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $G(v, \cdot)$ is a measure. The fact that $G(v, B) = 0$ whenever $|B| = 0$ is obvious. \square

LEMMA 3.6. G is local, that is $G(v, B) = G(v', B)$ for all $v, v' \in L^p$ and all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ such that $v = v'$ a.e. in B .

PROOF. Let $v, v' \in L^p$ and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ such that $v = v'$ a.e. in B . If (u_h, A_h) and (u'_k, A'_k) are local partitions of v and v' respectively, by (3.2) we get $Du_h = Du'_k$ a.e. in $B \cap A_h \cap A'_k$ for all integers h, k . Hence, taking into account hypothesis (i) of Theorem 2.2,

$$F(u_h, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k) = F(u'_k, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k)$$

for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(v, B) &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h) \\ &= \sum_{h, k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_h, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k) = \sum_{h, k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u'_k, B \cap A_h \cap A'_k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u'_k, B \cap A'_k) = G(v', B) . \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.7. *For all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the function $G(\cdot, B)$ is lower semi-continuous in the strong topology of L^p .*

PROOF. An easy computation shows that it is enough to prove that

$$(3.5) \quad G(v, B) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G(v + v_h, B)$$

whenever v_h are functions in L^p such that $\|v_h\|_p \leq 4^{-h}$ for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 3.2, for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ we may choose a local partition $(u_{h,j}, A_{h,j})$ of v_h such that

$$(3.6) \quad |\Omega \setminus A_{h,0}| < 2^{-h} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{h,0}\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C 2^{(1-1/p)h} \|v_h\|_p \leq C 2^{-h} ,$$

where C is a constant which does not depend on h .

Fix an integer k . Choose a local partition (u_h, A_h) of v such that $|\Omega \setminus A_0| \leq 2^{-k}$ (cf. Proposition 3.2) and set

$$C_k = A_0 \cap \left(\bigcap_{h \geq k} A_{h,0} \right) .$$

By the definition of G , for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(v, B \cap C_k) &= F(u_0, B \cap C_k) \\ G(v + v_h, B \cap C_k) &= F(u_0 + u_{h,0}, B \cap C_k) \quad \text{whenever } h \geq k, \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that $u_0 + u_{h,0}$ converge to u_0 by (3.6), and that F is lower semicontinuous (hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 2.2), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} G(v, B \cap C_k) &= F(u_0, B \cap C_k) \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F(u_0 + u_{h,0}, B \cap C_k) \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G(v_0 + v_{h,0}, B \cap C_k) . \end{aligned}$$

Note that by definition of C_k and by (3.6)

$$|\Omega \setminus C_k| \leq |\Omega \setminus A_0| + \sum_{h \geq k} |\Omega \setminus A_{h,0}| \leq 2^{-k} + \sum_{h \geq k} 2^{-h} = 3 \cdot 2^{-k} ,$$

so that $|\Omega \setminus C_k|$ converge to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for every $t < G(v, B)$ there exists an integer k such that $t \leq G(v, B \cap C_k)$ and inequality (3.7) and the fact that G is positive yield

$$\begin{aligned} t &\leq G(v, B \cap C_k) \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G(v_0 + v_{h,0}, B \cap C_k) \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G(v_0 + v_{h,0}, B) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.5) is satisfied because t is any real number less than $G(v, B)$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

By Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 we have that G satisfies hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 2.8. Lemma 3.4 and (iv) of Theorem 2.2 imply that hypothesis (iv) of Theorem 2.8 holds with $\bar{v} = D\bar{u}$. Then there exists a Borel function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ which is lower semicontinuous in the second variable and such that

$$G(v, B) = \int_B f(x, v(x)) \, dx$$

for every $(v, B) \in L^p \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$. Lemma 3.4 again implies

$$F(u, B) = G(Du, B) = \int_B f(x, Du(x)) \, dx$$

for every $(u, B) \in W^{1,p} \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, and then we have proved (a) and (b). The uniqueness of the integrand f follows for instance from Corollary 6 of Alberti [1]. \square

References

- [1] G. ALBERTI: *A Lusin type theorem for gradients*. Preprint Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (1990).
- [2] G. ALBERTI: Paper in preparation.

- [3] L. AMBROSIO, G. BUTTAZZO: *Weak lower semicontinuous envelope of functionals defined on a space of measures*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **150** (1988), 311–340.
- [4] J. APPELL: *The Superposition Operator in Function Spaces. A Survey*. Book in preparation.
- [5] G. BOTTARO, P. OPPEZZI: *Rappresentazione con integrali multipli di funzionali dipendenti da funzioni a valori in uno spazio di Banach*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **139** (1985), 191–225.
- [6] G. BOUCHITTÉ: *Représentation intégrale de fonctionnelles convexes sur un espace de mesures*. Ann. Univ. Ferrara, **33** (1987), 113–156.
- [7] G. BOUCHITTÉ, G. BUTTAZZO: *New lower semicontinuity results for non convex functionals defined on measures*. Nonlinear Anal., (to appear).
- [8] G. BOUCHITTÉ, G. BUTTAZZO: *Non convex functionals defined on measures: integral representation and relaxation*. Paper in preparation.
- [9] G. BOUCHITTÉ, M. VALADIER: *Integral representation of convex functionals on a space of measures*. J. Funct. Anal., **80** (1988), 398–420.
- [10] G. BOUCHITTÉ, M. VALADIER: *Multifonctions s.c.i. et régularisée s.c.i. essentielle. Fonctions de mesure dans le cas sous linéaire*. Proceedings "Congres Franco-Quebecois d'Analyse Non Linéaire Appliquée", Perpignan, June 22–26, 1987, Bordas, Paris (1989).
- [11] G. BUTTAZZO: *Semicontinuity, Relaxation and Integral Representation in the Calculus of Variations*. Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **207**, Longman, Harlow (1989).
- [12] G. BUTTAZZO: *Semicontinuity, relaxation, and integral representation problems in the calculus of variations*. Notes of a series of lectures held at CMAF of Lisbon in November–December 1985. Printed by CMAF, Lisbon (1986).
- [13] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO: *Integral representation on $W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $BV(\Omega)$ of limits of variational integrals*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **66** (1979), 338–343.
- [14] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO: *On Nemyckii operators and integral representation of local functionals*. Rend. Mat., **3** (1983), 491–509.
- [15] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO: *A characterization of nonlinear functionals on Sobolev spaces which admit an integral representation with a Caratheodory integrand*. J. Math. Pures Appl., **64** (1985), 337–361.
- [16] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO: *Integral representation and relaxation of local functionals*. Nonlinear Anal., **9** (1985), 512–532.
- [17] G. DAL MASO: *Integral representation on $BV(\Omega)$ of Γ -limits of variational integrals*. Manuscripta Math., **30** (1980), 387–413.
- [18] G. DAL MASO: *On the integral representation of certain local functionals*. Ricerche Mat., **32** (1983), 85–131.
- [19] G. DAL MASO, L. MODICA: *A general theory of variational integrals*. in "Topics in Functional Analysis 1980–81", Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (1982), 149–221.
- [20] E. DE GIORGI, L. AMBROSIO, G. BUTTAZZO: *Integral representation and relaxation for functionals defined on measures*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **81** (1987), 7–13.
- [21] L. DREWNOWSKI, W. ORLICZ: *On orthogonally additive functionals*. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **16** (1968), 883–888.
- [22] L. DREWNOWSKI, W. ORLICZ: *Continuity and representation of orthogonally additive functionals*. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **17** (1969), 647–653.
- [23] F. FERRO: *Integral characterization of functionals defined on spaces of BV functions*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **61** (1979), 177–203.
- [24] A. FOUGERES, A. TRUFFERT: *Δ -integrands and essential infimum, Nemyckii representation of l.s.c. operators on decomposable spaces and Radon-Nikodym-Hiai representation of measure functionals*. Preprint A.V.A.M.A.C. University of Perpignan, Perpignan (1984).
- [25] A. FOUGERES, A. TRUFFERT: *Applications des méthodes de représentation intégrale et d'approximation inf-convolutives à l'épi-convergence*. Preprint A.V.A.M.A.C. University of Perpignan, Perpignan (1985).
- [26] N. FRIEDMAN, M. KATZ: *Additive functionals of L^p spaces*. Canad. J. Math., **18** (1966), 1264–1271.
- [27] D. GILBARG, N.S. TRUDINGER: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).
- [28] F. HIAI: *Representation of additive functionals on vector valued normed Kothe spaces*. Kodai Math. J., **2** (1979), 300–313.
- [29] M. MARCUS, V.J. MIZEL: *Nemyckii operators on Sobolev spaces*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **51** (1973), 347–370.

- [30] M. MARCUS, V.J. MIZEL: *Extension theorems for nonlinear disjointly additive functionals and operators on Lebesgue spaces, with applications*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **82** (1976), 115–117.
- [31] M. MARCUS, V.J. MIZEL: *Extension theorems of Hahn-Banach type for nonlinear disjointly additive functionals and operators in Lebesgue spaces*. J. Funct. Anal., **24** (1977), 303–335.
- [32] M. MARCUS, V.J. MIZEL: *Representation theorems for nonlinear disjointly additive functionals and operators on Sobolev spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **228** (1977), 1–45.
- [33] M. MARCUS, V.J. MIZEL: *A characterization of first order nonlinear partial differential operators on Sobolev spaces*. J. Funct. Anal., **38** (1980), 118–138.
- [34] V.J. MIZEL: *Characterization of nonlinear transformations possessing kernels*. Canad. J. Math., **22** (1970), 449–471.
- [35] V.J. MIZEL, K. SUNDARESAN: *Representation of vector valued nonlinear functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **159** (1971), 111–127.
- [36] C.B. MORREY: *Quasiconvexity and the semicontinuity of multiple integrals*. Pacific J. Math., **2** (1952), 25–53.
- [37] C. SBORDONE: *Sulla caratterizzazione degli operatori differenziali del 2° ordine di tipo ellittico*. Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, **41** (1975), 31–45.
- [38] S. SPAGNOLO: *Una caratterizzazione degli operatori differenziali autoaggiunti del 2° ordine a coefficienti misurabili e limitati*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **38** (1967), 238–257.
- [39] I.V. SRAGIN: *Abstract Nemyckii operators are locally defined operators*. Soviet Math. Dokl., **17** (1976), 354–357.
- [40] M. VALADIER: *Fonctions et operateurs sur les mesures*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **I-304** (1987), 135–137.
- [41] W.A. WOYCZYNSKI: *Additive functionals on Orlicz spaces*. Colloq. Math., **19** (1968), 319–326.

G. ALBERTI
 Scuola Normale Superiore
 Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7
 56126 Pisa (Italy)

G. BUTTAZZO
 Dipartimento di Matematica
 Via Machiavelli, 35
 44100 Ferrara (Italy)