

Loss of regularity for the continuity equation with non-Lipschitz velocity field

GIOVANNI ALBERTI, GIANLUCA CRIPPA, ANNA L. MAZZUCATO

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation in space dimension $d \geq 2$. We construct a divergence-free velocity field uniformly bounded in all Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and a smooth compactly supported initial datum such that the unique solution to the continuity equation with this initial datum and advecting field does not belong to any Sobolev space of positive fractional order at any positive time. We also construct velocity fields in $W^{r,p}$, with $r > 1$, and solutions of the continuity equation with these velocities that exhibit some loss of regularity, as long as the Sobolev space $W^{r,p}$ does not embed in the space of Lipschitz functions. Our constructions are based on examples of optimal mixers from the companion paper [2], and have been announced in [1].

KEYWORDS: continuity and transport equations; mixing; loss of regularity; regular Lagrangian flows.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 76F25, 35B65, 37C10, 35Q35

1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation in d space dimensions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(u\rho) = 0, \\ \rho(0, \cdot) = \bar{\rho}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the velocity field $u = u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and the datum $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given, and $\rho = \rho(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Such an equation arises in different contexts and it models conservation of the scalar quantity ρ under the dynamics generated by the velocity field u . Often, as in the case of incompressible fluids, the (distributional) spatial divergence $\operatorname{div} u$ vanishes, and it is well known that, among other factors, the behavior of solutions of (1) depends on controlling the growth of the divergence of u . In this work we restrict to velocity fields that are divergence free, in which case the continuity equation coincides with the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + u \cdot \nabla \rho = 0. \quad (2)$$

(Further assumptions on the velocity field u will be specified later in the paper.)

The focus of this work is to investigate the regularity of the solution ρ at time t , given a certain regularity on the initial datum $\bar{\rho}$ and conditions on the velocity, such a uniform-in-time bound on norms of u and its derivatives. In particular, we are interested in determining what type of loss of regularity, if any, occurs in this setting.

The question whether initial regularity is propagated for solutions of equation (1) has a classical and simple answer when the velocity field is regular enough, namely Lipschitz continuous with respect to space uniformly with respect to time:

$$|u(t, x) - u(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad (3)$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some constant $L > 0$ depending on u , but not on t, x, y . The infimum over all L satisfying the above estimate is called the Lipschitz constant of u and denoted by $\text{Lip}(u)$.

With this regularity on the velocity field, the classical Cauchy-Lipschitz theory applies, and the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation (1) has a unique solution, which is moreover transported by the unique flow of the velocity field u , that is,

$$\rho(t, x) = \bar{\rho}(X(t, \cdot)^{-1}(x)), \quad (4)$$

where the flow $X = X(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t, x) = u(t, X(t, x)), \\ X(0, x) = x. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the solution ρ at time t has the same regularity as the initial datum $\bar{\rho}$ provided the flow X is regular enough. In particular, Grönwall's estimate ensures that the flow and its inverse are Lipschitz continuous with respect to space with a bound on their Lipschitz constant that is exponential in time and in L , where L is as the constant appearing in (3):

$$\text{Lip}(X(t, \cdot)) \leq \exp(tL), \quad \text{Lip}(X(t, \cdot)^{-1}) \leq \exp(tL). \quad (6)$$

In particular, from (4) it follows that

$$\text{Lip}(\rho(t, \cdot)) \leq \text{Lip}(\bar{\rho}) \exp(tL), \quad (7)$$

and an analogous result holds for the Hölder regularity of the solution. Alternatively, the exponential bound in (7) can be proved by performing simple energy estimates directly on the continuity equation (1).

In many situations, for example when u is a solution of the Navier-Stokes and Euler equations of fluid mechanics, the velocity field is not Lipschitz continuous, but still retains some regularity in terms of weak or distributional derivatives. In this setting, a theory of well posedness in Lebesgue spaces L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for the continuity equation (1) and the ordinary differential equation (5) has been developed, starting with the seminal works by DiPerna-Lions [11] and Ambrosio [3]. In these two papers, the velocity field is assumed to have, respectively, Sobolev or BV regularity with respect to the spatial variables, with an integral dependence on time. (By BV regularity we mean that the velocity is of bounded variation, and by Sobolev regularity we mean that derivatives of u , of possibly fractional order, have a certain integrability in space.) Then one can prove existence, uniqueness, and stability of weak solutions of the partial differential equation (PDE) (1), and of suitable flows (the so-called *regular Lagrangian flows*), solutions of the ordinary differential equation (ODE) (5). (See [4] for a recent survey on research in this area.)

This well-posedness result makes it rather natural to ask whether any propagation of regularity for solutions of (1) holds when the advecting field has only the Sobolev or BV regularity assumed in [3, 11]. A positive answer to this question would be very relevant in particular for applications to non-linear problems, as propagation of regularity would imply strong compactness of solutions, allowing the use of approximation schemes for non-linear problems that include continuity equations. This is a rather delicate question because the flow map may be discontinuous.

To the best of our knowledge, the literature addressing propagation of regularity in the framework described above is rather limited. Several results of classical flavor for “almost Lipschitz” velocity fields (i.e., with a modulus of continuity satisfying the Osgood condition) are collected for instance in [5]. Whether or not any propagation of regularity holds for less regular fields again seems a rather subtle question that, in particular, will depend on dimension. For instance, in one space dimension it is not difficult to see that BV regularity of the initial datum is propagated in time for solutions of the transport equation with a Sobolev velocity field, without additional conditions on its divergence. By contrast, in [8] the authors show that, in two space dimensions, neither BV regularity nor continuity of the data are preserved under the flow, if the velocity field lies in the intersection of a Sobolev and a Hölder space. Their construction is based on the lack of pointwise uniqueness for solutions of (5), which can be used to generate trajectories that collapse quickly by time reversion. See also [13] for a related analysis concerning $H^{3/2}$ velocity fields on the circle.

The main result of this work implies that, in two or more space dimensions, the Sobolev regularity of initial datum is not necessarily preserved in time when the velocity is not Lipschitz continuous. The loss of regularity is particularly striking when the velocity field has only one derivative in L^p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In this case, it is possible for smooth initial data to lose all Sobolev regularity, even of fractional order, instantaneously at $t = 0_+$. One should contrast this result with the fact that, within the Ambrosio-DiPerna-Lions theory, the Lebesgue L^p norms of the initial datum are all exactly conserved in time, if u is divergence free. The divergence-free constraint on the velocity field is a strong constraint as it implies the flow of u preserves the Lebesgue measure, so blow up of the norm by concentration is more difficult or impossible to achieve. We do not expect that allowing u to have a non-zero, but bounded, divergence would significantly simplify our construction. Allowing the divergence to become singular would likely lead to simpler examples of loss of regularity, but would also bring the result outside of the Ambrosio-DiPerna-Lions theory for solutions to (1).

This negative result on propagation of regularity in this work complements a few positive results that have become available recently in the literature. There, (low) regularity is measured in a non-standard way, while we choose to work with traditional Sobolev spaces. The quantitative estimates for regular Lagrangian flows in [9] show that any regular Lagrangian flow can be approximated (in the Lusin sense) with Lipschitz maps, with a quantitative control on the Lipschitz constant of the approximation that depends exponentially on the size of the neglected set.

This approximation result implies the propagation of a suitable “Lipexp regularity” of the initial datum (see [9]). More recently, in [6] preservation of “a logarithm of a derivative” for the solution of the continuity equation is established and used for a new theory of existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. This result is obtained by means of a delicate argument, which utilizes the propagation of a weighted norm of the solution, with a suitable choice of weights, via an adjoint problem, and does not require the divergence of the velocity to be bounded. See also [17] for a related approach in the context of incompressible velocity fields, described in terms of Fourier multipliers and proved with the use of multilinear harmonic analysis [18], and the subsequent sharp results in [7].

Our main result is contained in the following theorem, where d again denotes the spatial dimension.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 2$. There exist a bounded velocity field $v : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and a bounded solution $\theta : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the continuity equation (1) with velocity v , such that:*

- (i) *for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ the velocity field v is bounded in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniformly in time;*
- (ii) *the initial datum $\bar{\theta} := \theta(0, \cdot)$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*
- (iii) *the solution $\theta(t, \cdot)$ does not belong to $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $s > 0$ and $t > 0$.*

Moreover both v and θ have support in a fixed compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d for all $t \geq 0$, and can be taken to be smooth on the complement of a point in \mathbb{R}^d .

The main strategy in the proof of Theorem 1 above is to obtain sustained (exponential) growth of Sobolev norms with positive exponent from decay to zero of Sobolev norms with negative exponent by means of an interpolation inequality and conservation of the L^p norms for solutions of (1). The decay of negative Sobolev norms is, in turn, a consequence of results on optimal mixing, obtained by the authors [2]. The other main ingredient in the proof is a speed up of the growth of the Sobolev norm that eventually leads to instantaneous blow up, achieved via a suitable rescaling argument. We discuss these ideas in more details later in the Introduction.

Theorem 1 is stated in term of homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ and $\dot{H}^s \equiv \dot{W}^{s,2}$ (defined below in Appendix A), given that we employ rescaling in the proof and homogeneous Sobolev norm have the sharpest behavior under rescaling as opposed to non-homogeneous spaces. In our results, it is equivalent to work with homogeneous or inhomogeneous norms, since in the example we construct both the velocity field and the solution are uniformly bounded. Hence the inhomogeneous norm will be bounded if and only if the homogeneous norm is bounded. Additionally, we state the loss of regularity for the solution only in terms of L^2 -based Sobolev spaces H^s , $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. While these norms have physical meaning, using L^2 -based Sobolev norms is a technical restriction, which allows us to use equivalent Gagliardo-type norms for the fractional spaces H^s , $0 < s < 1$. These norms in turn imply an almost orthogonality property (see Lemma 11) in these spaces. We expect that a statement analogous to that of Theorem 1 can be made concerning blow-up of L^p -Sobolev norms, $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2. We work directly with the continuity equation, rather than with the flow induced by the advecting velocity, that is, we employ directly the PDE and we do not explicitly refer to the underlying ODE for the flow. We can, however, draw the following conclusions regarding the flow of the velocity u . The dramatic loss of regularity in Theorem 1 indicates a severe lack of continuity of the solution map in Sobolev spaces. Indeed, the inverse flow map $X^{-1}(t, \cdot)$ cannot be in any $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $s > 0$, for $t > 0$, otherwise the solution would retain Sobolev regularity from formula (4) as the initial data is smooth. The representation formula (4) is still valid, though not pointwise, for the unique weak and renormalized solution to (1). In a recent paper [16], Jabin gives in fact an example of a velocity field in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, with respect to the space variables, for which the associated regular Lagrangian flow is not in $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any \tilde{p} . The proof exploits a randomization argument in the choice of the rotations of certain basic blocks. In fact, Jabin observed that his construct gives the same lack of regularity for the flow in case of velocity fields in $W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, provided $p < \frac{d}{r-1}$, where r is even allow to be larger than 1 and p to be smaller than 1 (cf. Theorem 4 below).

Remark 3. Recently, it was shown in [7] that adapting the proof of Theorem 1 and exploiting suitable logarithmic interpolation inequalities leads to examples of loss of logarithmic regularity for the solution of the continuity equation.

The proof of the main result in this work is based on a previous construction [2] (see also [1]) of optimal mixers in the context of two-dimensional incompressible flows under Sobolev bounds on the velocity. Informally, mixing of ρ amounts to creation of stretching and filamentation that accounts for a small negative Sobolev norm of the solution. Since the L^2 norm of the solution is preserved under the flow, by interpolation positive Sobolev norms have to be large. The strategy of proof has two main steps:

- Step 1.** Construction of a basic element that saturates the Grönwall estimate (6)–(7) in integral sense: positive Sobolev norms of the solution grow exponentially in time. This construction is essentially taken from our previous paper [2].
- Step 2.** Iteration and scaling, which turn the exponential growth into an instantaneous blow up for the positive Sobolev norms of the solution, while still keeping a control on the regularity of the velocity field and the initial datum.

We notice that it is straightforward to saturate the Grönwall estimate for Step 1 above in pointwise sense. The relevance of our example is, instead, to saturate it in integral sense.

As a matter of fact, one can prove a complementary result to that in Theorem 1 in the setting of velocity fields with higher regularity, showing loss of some regularity on the solution. By higher regularity, we mean here that the velocity field belongs uniformly in time to a Sobolev space $W^{r,p}$ with $r > 1$, as long as this space does not embed in the Lipschitz class.

Theorem 4. *Assume $d \geq 2$. Let $r > 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ be such that $p < \frac{d}{r-1}$. Let $T > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ be fixed. Then there exist a bounded velocity field v and a solution θ of the continuity equation (1) with velocity v , both defined for $0 \leq t \leq T$, such that:*

- (i) the velocity field v is bounded in $\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniformly in time;
- (ii) the initial datum $\bar{\theta} := \theta(0, \cdot)$ belongs to $\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (iii) the solution $\theta(t, \cdot)$ does not belong to $\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $t > 0$;
- (iv) both v and θ are compactly supported in space, and can be taken smooth on the complement of a point in \mathbb{R}^d .

Furthermore, there is $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}(r, p, d) < 1$ such that

- (v) for any $\mu > \bar{\mu}$ the solution $\theta(T, \cdot)$ does not belong to $\dot{H}^{\mu\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ at the final time T .

We make a few comments elucidating the similarities and differences between the two theorems:

(1) The loss of regularity in Theorem 4 is much weaker than that in Theorem 1. This appears as an artifact of our strategy for the proof. In fact, the examples of optimal mixers in [2], the building blocks in the current proof, give exponential decay of negative norms (we refer to this situation as “exponential mixing”), if a uniform L^p -bound in time is imposed only on the gradient of the velocity. If the bound is on higher derivatives, then the mixing is polynomial in time, which is not sufficient to show instantaneous blow up of the positive norms by rescaling. One can attempt to remedy this problem in two ways and obtain a stronger version of Theorem 4, by constructing exponential mixers with uniform bounds on higher derivatives or by constructing flows that give directly growth of positive norms for the solution. Very recently, Elgindi and Zlatos have constructed more general exponential mixers under uniform bounds in $W^{s,p}$ for $1 < s < 2$ and p close to 2 [12]. (See Theorem 6 for more details on the examples of optimal mixers from [2].)

(2) If $r < 1$ and we assume the velocity field is in $W^{r,p}$, then in general solutions are not unique. However, we can prove the existence of one solution as in Theorem 1.

(3) The solution in Theorem 4 is unique in L^2 if $p \geq 2$. If $\sigma > d/2$, the solution can be taken to be bounded, and is the unique one for any $p \geq 1$.

(4) In the proof of both theorems we do not discuss the case $p = 1$ for the velocity. This choice is by convenience, since we define Sobolev spaces using Fourier multipliers and this definition is not equivalent to the definition using weak derivatives and interpolation for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. Clearly, the result holds also for $p = 1$ as stated by embedding, as $W^{r,p} \subset W^{r,1}$ for any $p > 1$.

Remark 5. Further variants of Theorem 1 are possible. For example, by modifying the proof of Theorem 4, one can prove instantaneous loss of some regularity, but with further constraints on the regularity of the velocity field and of the initial data. Informally, the regularity index $r > 1$ must be sufficiently close to 1, σ must be sufficiently large, and s sufficiently close to σ . Furthermore, these conditions are coupled together. For sake of clarity and to keep the article contained, we opt not to include a precise statement and a proof of these variants.

The paper is organized as follows. We discuss the example of optimal mixing flows from [2] that constitute the building blocks for the constructive proofs of our main results in Section 2. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorems 1 and 4. In Appendix A, we briefly recall main facts about fractional Sobolev spaces

and present an almost orthogonality result for functions in these spaces with well separated supports, which yields a key lemma for the iteration step in the proof of the main theorem.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the anonymous referees for a careful reading of the manuscript and insightful comments. This work was started during a visit of the first and third authors at the University of Basel. Their stay has been partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grants 140232 and 156112. The visits of the second author to Pisa have been supported by the University of Pisa PRA project “Metodi variazionali per problemi geometrici [Variational Methods for Geometric Problems]”. The second author was partially supported by the ERC Starting Grant 676675 FLIRT and the third author by the US National Science Foundation grants DMS-1312727 and DMS-1615457.

2. An example of exponential mixing

As outlined in the Introduction, the first step in proving our main result, Theorem 1, is the construction of a regular velocity field u and of a regular solution ρ to the continuity equation with velocity u such that u is Lipschitz uniformly in time and the positive Sobolev norms of ρ grow exponentially in time.

Given that all Lebesgue norms of ρ are constant in time, one way to achieve the exponential growth in time of the positive Sobolev norms is to ensure an exponential decay of the negative norms in view of the interpolation inequality (24). Negative Sobolev norms will decay in time if the flow of u is sufficiently “mixing”, which informally means that the action of the flow generates small scales in the solution. It was recently shown [15, 19] that, under a $W^{1,p}$ bound on u that is uniform in time, where $1 < p \leq \infty$, the rate of decay of negative Sobolev norms for the solution ρ is indeed at most exponential in time.

In [2], we constructed examples of velocity fields satisfying the required $W^{1,p}$ bound and solutions to the associated continuity equation that saturate the exponential rate of decay of the negative norms (see [12, 21] for related examples). We will refer to these examples as “optimal mixers”. We also consider velocity fields with higher regularity, see (8) and (9) below. Our examples are based on a quasi self-similar geometric construction.

Theorem 6 ([2]). *Assume $d \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the open cube with unit side centered at the origin of \mathbb{R}^d . There exist a velocity field $u \in C^\infty([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and a corresponding (non trivial) solution $\rho \in C^\infty([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^d)$ of the continuity equation (1) such that:*

- (i) $u(t, \cdot)$ is bounded, divergence-free, and compactly supported in \mathcal{Q} for any t ;
- (ii) $\rho(t, \cdot)$ has zero average and is bounded and compactly supported in \mathcal{Q} for any t ;
- (iii) $u(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniformly in t ;
- (iv) for any $r \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exist constants $b > 0$ and $B_r > 0$ such that, for any t ,

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq B_r \exp((r-1)bt); \quad (8)$$

(v) for any $0 < s < 2$, there exist constants $c > 0$ and $\hat{C}_s > 0$ such that, for any t ,

$$\|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \hat{C}_s \exp(-sct). \quad (9)$$

Remark 7. By the interpolation formula (24) with $s_1 = -s$, $s_2 = s$, and $\vartheta = 1/2$, which reads

$$\|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1/2} \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1/2},$$

together with the fact that the L^2 norm of the solution ρ is conserved in time, estimate (9) implies the following lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\geq \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1} \\ &\geq \|\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \hat{C}_s^{-1} \exp(sct) \\ &= C_s \exp(sct) \quad \text{for every } 0 < s < 2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This bound can be seen as saturating Grönwall inequality (6)–(7) and will be used in the proof of Theorems 1 and 4.

Estimate (10) is weaker than (9), in the sense that growth of the positive norms does not imply decay of the negative norms, yet it is still enough to establish the loss of regularity. In fact, what is needed is a lower bound that implies growth of positive norms faster than polynomial, but not necessarily exponential in time. Furthermore, blow up is a *local* phenomenon, while mixing is inherently a *non-local* property. One can then ask whether it is possible to use a simpler flow as building block for the construction in Section 3. Intuitively, a circular-type flow, where the angular velocity is suitably chosen, could suffice. However, a preliminary analysis indicates that making this construction rigorous is rather delicate and we choose instead to exploit the work we have already done for the optimal mixers. We reserve to investigate this point further in future work.

Remark 8. The example in [2] was constructed in two space dimensions. However, it is not difficult to modify such construction to make it d -dimensional. One can argue as follows. Call $\tilde{u}(t, x_1, x_2)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(t, x_1, x_2)$ the velocity field and solution constructed in [2]. Fix $\eta = \eta(x_3, \dots, x_d)$ smooth, with compact support in $B(0, 1/4) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ and $\eta = 1$ on $B(0, 1/8)$. Moreover, fix $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\eta}(x_3, \dots, x_d)$ smooth, with compact support in $B(0, 1/2) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ and $\bar{\eta} = 1$ on $B(0, 1/4)$. Then, setting

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x_1, \dots, x_d) &:= \tilde{u}(t, x_1, x_2) \bar{\eta}(x_3, \dots, x_d), \\ \rho(t, x_1, \dots, x_d) &:= \tilde{\rho}(t, x_1, x_2) \eta(x_3, \dots, x_d), \end{aligned}$$

yields a velocity field u and solution ρ defined on \mathbb{R}^d that satisfy the conclusions of Theorem 6.

3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 4

The main idea in the proof of both Theorems 1 and 4 consists in constructing the velocity field v and the associated solution θ by patching together a countable number of velocity fields u_n and solutions ρ_n saturating Grönwall's inequality, the existence of which follows from Theorem 6 (see also Remark 7), after rescaling in

both space and time, and in size as well for the solution, in a suitable manner. We then translate them so as to be supported in cubes that accumulate towards a point in space. The pairs u_n, ρ_n serve as building blocks in our construction.

The choice of the rescaling parameters is the key point of the proof and is done in such a way that the regularity of the velocity field and that of the initial datum for the solution can be controlled, while at the same time any regularity of the solution at later times is destroyed.

In the proof of Theorem 1, we choose the parameters for the rescaling to ensure that the velocity field has Sobolev regularity uniformly in time, while we exploit that the rescaling in time can be chosen so that the rate of exponential growth of the Sobolev norms of the solution grows enough at each step to become in the limit an instantaneous blow up.

When $r > 1$, in view of estimate (8), the Sobolev norm of order r of the velocity field is not uniformly bounded in time, rather it grows exponentially in time. One then needs to balance this exponential growth with the exponential growth for the Sobolev norms of the solution, which accounts for the weaker result in Theorem 4.

3.1. A geometric construction. We fix a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, with $\lambda_n > 0$ and $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$, to be determined later. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider an open cube Q_n in \mathbb{R}^d with side of length $3\lambda_n$. The first condition we impose on $\{\lambda_n\}$ is that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \{Q_n\} \text{ can be chosen to be contained in} \\ \text{a compact set and convergent to a point} \end{array} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \sum_n \lambda_n < \infty. \quad (\text{A})$$

If (A) is satisfied, we can choose the centers of the cubes so that all cubes are contained in a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d and accumulate to a point, i.e., every open ball centered at such a point contains all the cubes but finitely many. By saying that condition (A) is satisfied, we mean that the condition on the right hand side, which implies the one on the left, is satisfied. The same will be true for conditions (B)–(D) (and variants) below.

Given u and ρ as in Theorem 6, we fix two more sequences $\{\tau_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ with $\tau_n > 0$ and $\gamma_n > 0$ also to be determined later, where $\tau_n \downarrow 0$. Up to a translation, in each cube Q_n we set

$$u_n(t, x) := \frac{\lambda_n}{\tau_n} u\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \frac{x}{\lambda_n}\right), \quad \rho_n(t, x) := \gamma_n \rho\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \frac{x}{\lambda_n}\right). \quad (11)$$

It is immediate to check that ρ_n is a solution of the continuity equation with velocity field u_n , that is,

$$\partial_t \rho_n + \operatorname{div}(u_n \rho_n) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, we observe that for every n we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(\rho_n), Q_n^c) \geq \lambda_n,$$

since the support of ρ_n is contained in a square of side λ_n with the same center as Q_n , the side of which has length $3\lambda_n$.

We set

$$v := \sum_n u_n, \quad \theta := \sum_n \rho_n, \quad (13)$$

where convergence of both series is taken pointwise almost everywhere. We will prove later that, for a suitable choice of the three sequences of parameters, λ_n , τ_n , γ_n , the function θ is a (weak) solution of the continuity equation with velocity field v :

$$\partial_t \theta + \operatorname{div}(v\theta) = 0. \quad (14)$$

3.2. Regularity of the velocity field. We estimate the $\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of the velocity field v at a fixed time t :

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\stackrel{(13)}{\leq} \sum_n \|u_n(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\tau_n} \left\| u\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\|_{\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\tau_n} \lambda_n^{d/p-r} \left\| u\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \cdot\right) \right\|_{\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{\leq} \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n^{1-r+d/p}}{\tau_n} B_r \exp\left(\frac{(r-1)bt}{\tau_n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have explicitly referenced which property we are using for each inequality. Therefore, the fact that $v(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is implied by the following condition:

$$v(t, \cdot) \in \dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \iff \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n^{1-r+d/p}}{\tau_n} \exp\left(\frac{(r-1)bt}{\tau_n}\right) < \infty. \quad (\text{B})$$

Moreover, the definition of u_n , which is given in (11), and the fact that the cubes $\{Q_n\}$ have been chosen to be pairwise disjoint, gives the following implication:

$$v(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ uniformly in } t \iff \left\{ \frac{\lambda_n}{\tau_n} \right\} \text{ bounded}. \quad (\tilde{\text{B}})$$

3.3. Regularity of the initial datum. For a given $\sigma \geq 0$, we estimate the $\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of the initial datum $\bar{\theta} = \theta(0, \cdot)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\theta}(\cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\stackrel{(13)}{\leq} \sum_n \|\rho_n(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \sum_n \gamma_n \left\| \rho\left(0, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} \sum_n \gamma_n \lambda_n^{d/2-\sigma} \|\rho\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the further condition

$$\bar{\theta} \in \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \iff \sum_n \gamma_n \lambda_n^{d/2-\sigma} < \infty. \quad (\text{C})$$

In Theorem 1, we require that the solution θ is bounded, which follows if $\bar{\theta}$ is bounded. Using the definition of ρ_n in (11) and again the fact that the cubes

$\{Q_n\}$ have been chosen to be pairwise disjoint gives the following implication:

$$\theta(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ uniformly in } t \iff \{\gamma_n\} \text{ bounded}. \quad (\tilde{\text{C}})$$

In Theorem 4, we only require that θ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniformly in time, which again follows if $\bar{\theta} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This requirement corresponds to condition (C) with $\sigma = 0$, that is,

$$\theta(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ uniformly in } t \iff \sum_n \gamma_n \lambda_n^{d/2} < \infty. \quad (\hat{\text{C}})$$

3.4. Loss of regularity for the solution. To show loss of regularity for θ at any $t > 0$, it is enough to show that the solution does not belong to $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $0 < s < 1$, since θ is at least in L^2 (by conditions (C) or (C) and the compact support above) and the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ form a scale, that is, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is contained in $H^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $s > r$. We then fix an arbitrary $0 < s < 1$, and estimate the norm of θ in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ from below as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &\stackrel{(26)}{\geq} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\|\rho_n(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{C_d}{s} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2s}} \|\rho_n(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\gamma_n^2 \left\| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{C_d}{s} \frac{\gamma_n^2}{\lambda_n^{2s}} \left\| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n^2 \lambda_n^{d-2s} \left[\left\| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \cdot\right) \right\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{C_d}{s} \left\| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\tau_n}, \cdot\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{\geq} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n^2 \lambda_n^{d-2s} \left[C_s^2 \exp\left(\frac{2sct}{\tau_n}\right) - \frac{C_d \hat{C}_0}{s} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where again we have referenced the property used at each step. Here, we exploit an almost orthogonality property in \dot{H}^s for functions with disjoint support, established in Lemma 11 in Appendix A. In the last inequality, we have denoted the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of ρ , which is conserved in time, by \hat{C}_0 . Therefore, since we require $\tau_n \downarrow 0$, for any given $t > 0$ we have

$$\theta(t, \cdot) \notin \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \iff \sum_n \gamma_n^2 \lambda_n^{d-2s} \exp\left(\frac{2sct}{\tau_n}\right) = \infty. \quad (\text{D})$$

3.5. θ is a solution of the continuity equation with velocity v . We assume that the sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\{\tau_n\}$, and $\{\gamma_n\}$ have been chosen so that conditions (B), (B) and (C) (or (C)) are satisfied. Then, the series in (13) converge strongly in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on any finite time interval. This fact, together with the validity of (12) and the fact that the cubes $\{Q_n\}$ have been chosen to be pairwise disjoint, implies the validity of (14).

We are now in the position to complete the proof of our main result.

3.6. Proof of Theorem 1. In the case $r = 1$, condition (B) can be restated as

$$v \in L^\infty((0, \infty); \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \iff \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n^{d/p}}{\tau_n} < \infty. \quad (\hat{\text{B}})$$

Our task is to find sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\{\tau_n\}$, and $\{\gamma_n\}$ such that conditions (A), ($\hat{\text{B}}$), ($\hat{\text{C}}$), (C), ($\hat{\text{C}}$), and (D) are satisfied, for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\sigma > 0$, $s > 0$, and $t > 0$. Choosing

$$\tau_n := \frac{1}{n^3}, \quad \lambda_n := e^{-n}, \quad (15)$$

we immediately see that (A), ($\hat{\text{B}}$), and ($\hat{\text{B}}$) are satisfied. If we take

$$\gamma_n := e^{-n^2}, \quad (16)$$

we see that (C) and ($\hat{\text{C}}$) are satisfied. We are left with having to check condition (D). With the choices in (15) and (16) for the parameters, the series appearing in condition (D) reads:

$$\sum_n \exp(-2n^2) \exp(-(d-2s)n) \exp(2sctn^3),$$

which clearly diverges since $sct > 0$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

3.7. Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is a variation of the previous one. We fix $T > 0$ and choose

$$\tau_n := \frac{1}{n}.$$

We want to check (B) for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Such a condition now reads

$$\sum_n n \lambda_n^{1-r+d/p} \exp(n(r-1)bT) < \infty. \quad (17)$$

By the assumption $p < \frac{d}{r-1}$ (expressing the fact that we consider a Sobolev space that does not embed in the Lipschitz class), we have that

$$\beta = 1 - r + \frac{d}{p} > 0.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ to be determined, we choose

$$\lambda_n := \exp(-\alpha T n).$$

Then, condition (17) becomes

$$\sum_n n \exp(-\alpha \beta T n) \exp(n(r-1)bT) < \infty.$$

This series indeed converges provided that

$$-\alpha \beta T + (r-1)bT < 0 \quad \text{that is,} \quad \alpha > \frac{(r-1)b}{\beta}, \quad (18)$$

which is admissible. We remark that the parameters α and β can be chosen independent of T , since b is independent of T . Under this condition, (B) holds and also (A) and ($\hat{\text{B}}$) follow.

With this choice of λ_n , condition (C) becomes

$$\sum_n \gamma_n \exp\left(\alpha \left(\sigma - \frac{d}{2}\right) T n\right) < \infty.$$

We can guarantee that this condition holds if

$$\gamma_n := \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\frac{d}{2} - \sigma\right) T n\right).$$

Moreover, condition ($\hat{\text{C}}$) becomes

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\frac{d}{2} - \sigma\right) T n\right) \left[\exp(-\alpha T n)\right]^{d/2} = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} \exp(-\alpha \sigma T n) < \infty,$$

and we can verify that it is satisfied. We note in passing that, in the case $\sigma > d/2$, the stronger condition ($\hat{\text{C}}$) in fact holds.

We now substitute all the above expressions into (D) and obtain that this condition is equivalent to having:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n \left(\gamma_n \lambda_n^{d/2-\sigma}\right)^2 \lambda_n^{2(\sigma-s)} \exp\left(\frac{2sct}{\tau_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{n^4} \exp(-2(\sigma-s)\alpha T n) \exp(2sctn) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{n^4} \exp(2n(-(\sigma-s)\alpha T + sct)) = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The above series diverges if

$$-(\sigma-s)\alpha T + sct > 0,$$

which is (trivially) the case for any $t \geq 0$ when $s > \sigma$.

In the relevant case $0 < s \leq \sigma$, we see that the norm of the solution in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ becomes infinity only after a time $(\sigma-s)\alpha T/sc$. Recalling that we control the Sobolev norm of the velocity field up to time T only, only those regularity indices s that satisfy

$$\frac{(\sigma-s)\alpha T}{sc} < T \quad \text{that is,} \quad (\sigma-s)\alpha < sc, \quad (19)$$

lead to blow up of the norm. Recalling (18), we can rewrite (19) as

$$\frac{(\sigma-s)(r-1)b}{sc\beta} < 1 \quad \text{that is,} \quad \frac{s}{\sigma} > 1 - \frac{c\beta}{c\beta + (r-1)b} =: \bar{\mu}.$$

We observe that, with this definition, $\bar{\mu}$ is independent of T , as again β and b are, and it also satisfies $0 < \bar{\mu} < 1$. Therefore, the amount of regularity for the solution that can be lost is $\bar{\mu}\sigma$. Theorem 4 is now proved. \square

Remark 9. Although $\bar{\mu}$ is independent of T and T is chosen arbitrarily, we cannot conclude from our construction that such regularity is lost instantaneously by choosing a sequence of times $T = T_n \rightarrow 0$, as both the velocity field and the initial data, hence the entire solution, depend on T in a fundamental way.

Appendix A. Fractional Sobolev spaces and almost orthogonality

We recall some basic definitions and properties of homogeneous Sobolev spaces of real order that are used extensively in this paper. For a complete exposition we refer the reader for instance to [5, 10, 14, 20].

Let $s \geq 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We say that a tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if the Fourier transform \hat{f} of f belongs to $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(|\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi)\right) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (20)$$

If this is the case, we define $\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ to be the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of the function in (20).

If $s = k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincides with the usual Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of those $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions possessing weak derivatives of order less or equal than k in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This equivalence however does not hold in the borderline cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. We also recall the well-known fact that $\dot{W}^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ continuously embeds into the space of Lipschitz continuous functions provided $p > \frac{d}{r-1}$.

Throughout this work, we make frequent use of the behavior of homogeneous Sobolev norms of a given function f under rescaling. If we set

$$f_\lambda(x) := f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right),$$

then it holds

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{d/p-s} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (21)$$

In the particular case $p = 2$ we use the notation $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if the Fourier transform \hat{f} of f belongs to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty. \quad (22)$$

As before, it holds

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{d/2-s} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (23)$$

In fact, more general definitions can be given removing the requirement $\hat{f} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, setting the entire theory in the context of tempered distributions modulo polynomials (as done, for instance, in [14]). In this paper, we always deal with bounded functions with compact support, for which the local summability of the Fourier transform is guaranteed. Therefore we prefer to follow the somehow simplified approach above.

In our work, homogeneous spaces are used only to measure the ‘‘size’’ of given functions and velocity fields, which in fact will be typically regular but with large norm. In particular, the issue of completeness (which holds only for specific values of s given our definition) and seminorms will not arise. With abuse of language, we then refer to seminorms as norms.

The following interpolation property holds. If $s_1 < s < s_2$ and $s = \vartheta s_1 + (1 - \vartheta)s_2$, then

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\vartheta \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\vartheta}. \quad (24)$$

In particular $\dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is contained in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Analogous inequalities hold for $\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but we will not need them in this paper.

We recall that, for every $0 < s < 1$, the $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ seminorm is equivalent, up to a dimensional factor, to the Gagliardo seminorm, given by :

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}. \quad (25)$$

We recall that one can equivalently introduce Gagliardo seminorms that are L^p based for $1 \leq p < \infty$:

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

However, the space of L^p functions for which this norm is finite is a Besov space, namely the space $\dot{B}_{p,p}^s$, and $\dot{W}^{s,p} = \dot{B}_{p,p}^s$ if and only if $p = 2$ (see, e.g., [20, Chapter 2]). This is one of the reasons why we state the loss of regularity in L^2 -Sobolev spaces only.

Using (25), we prove a localization property in \dot{H}^s in the following sense. If a function has compact support, then we exhibit a quantitative relation between the Sobolev norm of this function on the whole space and the Sobolev norm of the function localized on a set containing its support. This lemma is essentially Lemma 5.1 in [10], and we include a proof here for the reader’s sake.

Lemma 10. *Let $0 < s < 1$. Let $K \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume $\lambda := \text{dist}(K, \Omega^c) > 0$. Then, for every function $f \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support contained in K ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy + \frac{C_d}{s} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2s}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_d is a dimensional constant.

Proof. Since f vanishes in the complement of Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega^c} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we need to estimate the last integral. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^c} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dx dy &= \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{\mathbf{1}_K(x)}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dy dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 \int_{B(x,\lambda)^c} \frac{\mathbf{1}_K(x)}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dy dx \\ &= C_d \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{d+2s}} dr = \frac{C_d}{2s} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2s}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We use the previous lemma to show a sort of “orthogonality property” for Sobolev functions with disjoint supports.

Lemma 11. *Let $0 < s < 1$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $K_i \subset \Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ and $\lambda_i := \text{dist}(K_i, \Omega_i^c) > 0$. Then, there exists a dimensional constant C_d such that, given $f_i \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support contained in K_i ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1 + f_2\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &\geq \|f_1\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|f_2\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{C_d}{s} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{2s}} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{2s}} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The above formula generalizes to:

$$\left\| \sum_n f_n \right\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \geq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\|f_n\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{C_d}{s} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2s}} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right]. \quad (26)$$

We note that, for $s = 0, 1$, owing to the local nature of the corresponding norms, we have true orthogonality:

$$\|f_1 + f_2\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \|f_1\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|f_2\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 11. It is enough to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f_1(x) + f_2(x) - f_1(y) - f_2(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dx dy \\ \geq \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|f_1(x) - f_1(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{|f_2(x) - f_2(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and apply the previous lemma. \square

References

- [1] G. ALBERTI, G. CRIPPA, AND A. L. MAZZUCATO, *Exponential self-similar mixing and loss of regularity for continuity equations*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 352 (2014), pp. 901–906.
- [2] ———, *Exponential self-similar mixing by incompressible flows*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 32 (2019), pp. 445–490.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, *Transport equation and Cauchy problem for BV vector fields*, Invent. Math., 158 (2004), pp. 227–260.
- [4] L. AMBROSIO AND G. CRIPPA, *Continuity equations and ODE flows with non-smooth velocity*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 144 (2014), pp. 1191–1244.

- [5] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [6] D. BRESCH AND P.-E. JABIN, *Global existence of weak solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes equations: thermodynamically unstable pressure and anisotropic viscous stress tensor*, Ann. of Math. (2), 188 (2018), pp. 577–684.
- [7] E. BRUÈ AND Q.-H. NGUYEN, *Sharp regularity estimates for solutions of the continuity equation drifted by Sobolev vector fields*, 2018. [arXiv:1806.03466](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03466).
- [8] F. COLOMBINI, T. LUO, AND J. RAUCH, *Nearly Lipschitzean divergence free transport propagates neither continuity nor BV regularity*, Commun. Math. Sci., 2 (2004), pp. 207–212.
- [9] G. CRIPPA AND C. DE LELLIS, *Estimates and regularity results for the DiPerna-Lions flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 616 (2008), pp. 15–46.
- [10] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, AND E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012), pp. 521–573.
- [11] R. J. DIPERNA AND P.-L. LIONS, *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces*, Invent. Math., 98 (1989), pp. 511–547.
- [12] T. M. ELGINDI AND A. ZLATOŠ, *Universal mixers in all dimensions*, 2018. [arXiv:1809.09614](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.09614).
- [13] A. FIGALLI, *On flows of $H^{3/2}$ -vector fields on the circle*, Math. Ann., 347 (2010), pp. 43–57.
- [14] L. GRAFAKOS, *Modern Fourier analysis*, vol. 250 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, third ed., 2014.
- [15] G. IYER, A. KISELEV, AND X. XU, *Lower bounds on the mix norm of passive scalars advected by incompressible enstrophy-constrained flows*, Nonlinearity, 27 (2014), pp. 973–985.
- [16] P.-E. JABIN, *Critical non-Sobolev regularity for continuity equations with rough velocity fields*, J. Differential Equations, 260 (2016), pp. 4739–4757.
- [17] F. LÉGER, *A new approach to bounds on mixing*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 28 (2018), pp. 829–849.
- [18] A. SEEGER, C. K. SMART, AND B. STREET, *Multilinear singular integral forms of Christ-Journé type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 257 (2019), pp. v+134.
- [19] C. SEIS, *Maximal mixing by incompressible fluid flows*, Nonlinearity, 26 (2013), pp. 3279–3289.
- [20] H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of function spaces*, vol. 78 of Monographs in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [21] Y. YAO AND A. ZLATOŠ, *Mixing and un-mixing by incompressible flows*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 19 (2017), pp. 1911–1948.

G.A.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa
largo Pontecorvo 5, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
e-mail: giovanni.alberti@unipi.it

G.C.

Departement Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Basel
Spiegelgasse 1, CH-4051 Basel, Switzerland
e-mail: gianluca.crippa@unibas.ch

A.L.M.

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University
University Park, State College, PA 16802, USA
e-mail: alm24@psu.edu