

Asymptotic Euler-Maclaurin formula for semi-rational polyhedra

Common work with Nicole Berline.

Semi-rational polyhedra

V real vector space of dimension d ;

Λ lattice in V , dual lattice $\Lambda^* \subset V^*$;

$\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N$ elements of Λ^* ;

h_1, h_2, \dots, h_N **real** numbers.

Then the polyhedron

$$p(h) = \{x \in V; \langle \eta_i, x \rangle \leq h_i\}$$

is called semi rational.

Examples :

$a \leq x \leq b$: any interval with real end points.

$x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + 2y \leq \sqrt{2}$

Riemann Sums over Semi-rational Polyhedra

Let $p(h)$ be a semi rational polytope of dimension d . Consider the sum of values of a smooth function $test$ on the integral points of p :

$$\langle F(p(h), \Lambda), test \rangle = \sum_{x \in \Lambda \cap p(h)} test(x).$$

When $test$ is a polynomial, we (Baldoni, Berline, Koeppe, De Loera, Vergne) have given "formulae" for $\langle F(p(h), \Lambda), test \rangle$ depending of the real parameter h in a "semi-quasi-polynomial" way. Here we show that we can give asymptotic formulae for $test$ smooth of the same kind.

Asymptotic Riemann Sums over Semi-rational Polyhedra

Consider a real parameter t . Assume p is a semi-rational polyhedron, of dimension d , and $test$ be a smooth function with compact support. Define the Riemann sum :

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{x \in tp \cap \Lambda} test(x/t)$$

It is clear that when $t \rightarrow \infty$, $F(t)$ tends to $\int_p test$. Thus we want to evaluate at what rate the Riemann sum converges to the integral.

The Euler-MacLaurin formula in dimension 1

Let a be a real number. Let

$$c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \geq a\}.$$

Let $\{t\} \in [0, 1[$ be the fractional part of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $test$ be a smooth function with compact support, $B_k(x)$ the Bernoulli polynomial, $B_k = B_k(0)$ the Bernoulli number.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \geq ta, x \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{t} test(x/t) &= \int_{x \geq a} test(x) dx - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{B_j(\{-ta\})}{t^j} test^{(j-1)}(a) \\ &+ \frac{1}{t^k} (-1)^{k-1} \int_a^\infty (B_k(\{tx\}) - B_k) test^{(k)}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Riemann sum minus the integral

So we obtain an asymptotic formula in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\text{infly}} f_j(t) \frac{1}{t^j}$$

where $f_j(t)$ are polynomial functions of the function $t \rightarrow \{-at\}$. Furthermore, an explicit formula for the rest is given as an integral of a derivative of test of order k against a bounded and continuous function of tx over $c = [a, \infty[$.

If $a = p/q$ is rational, the function $f_j(t)$ is a periodic function of t with period q .

If a and t are integers, then $f_j(t) = -B_j$ is just the Bernoulli number. So we obtain an asymptotic formula in $\frac{1}{t^j}$.

Semi-quasi polynomials

A function $f(t)$ of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ will be called semi-quasi polynomial if $f(t)$ can be expressed as $P(\{c_1 t\}, \{c_2 t\}, \dots, \{c_K t\})$, a polynomial function of a number of functions $t \rightarrow \{c_j t\}$ where c_a are real numbers. If the numbers $c_j = p_j/q_j$ are rationals, then $\{c_j t\}$ is a periodic function of period q_j , so a semi-quasi polynomial is a periodic function of t mod some period Q .

Form of the asymptotic for semi-rational polytope

Theorem • Let p be a semi-rational polytope, then when $t \rightarrow \infty$, t real,

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{x \in tp \cap \Lambda} \text{test}(x/t)$$

is equivalent to

$$\int_p \text{test} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_j(t)}{t^j} \langle D_j, \text{test} \rangle$$

where $f_j(t)$ are semi-quasi polynomial functions of t and $\langle D_j, \text{test} \rangle$ are **integrals of derivatives of test on faces of p** .

- If p is rational, $f_j(t)$ are periodic functions of t
- If p is with integral vertices, $f_j(t)$ are constants.

Comments

We have explicit forms of the D_j , but unfortunately no nice formula for the rest, meaning as a distribution supported on p . For the standard simplex, there are some formulae with rest obtained in numerical analysis (clearly an important problem in numerical analysis).

Arrangement of hyperplanes

In the case where p is a polytope with integral vertices, two asymptotic formulae were previously obtained : one by Guillemin-Sternberg (extending Khovanskii-Pukhlikov formula for polynomials), one by Tatsuya Tate (extending Berline-Vergne local Euler-MacLaurin formula for polynomials). Our work was motivated by a recent question of Le Floch-Pelayo : How to see directly that these two formulae are the same ?.

Easy to do via renormalization of rational functions with poles on an arrangement of hyperplanes.

Guillemin-Sternberg formula

Let $p(h)$ be a semi-rational polytope. Assume that $p_0 = p(h_0)$ is a Delzant polytope with integral vertices (corresponding to a smooth toric variety M with ample line bundle L). Consider

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{x \in t p_0 \cap \Lambda} \text{test}(x/t).$$

Define $Todd(z) = \frac{z}{1-e^{-z}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j B_j z^j / j!$.

We can consider the series of differential operators

$$Todd(\partial_h/k) := \prod_{i=1}^N Todd(\partial_{h_i}/k)$$

Then : For k integer, $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$F(k) \equiv \left(Todd(\partial_h/k) \int_{p(h)} \text{test} \right) |_{h=h_0}$$

But we want a "Concrete formula"

Pretty clear that $F(k) \equiv \sum_j \frac{1}{k^j} \langle D_j, test \rangle$ where $\langle D_j, f \rangle$ are integrals of derivatives of *test* on faces of the polytope p . We had obtained (Berline-Vergne) exact formulae with explicit operators D_j when *test* was a polynomial. Tatsuya Tate showed (directly) that these formulae hold in the asymptotic sense. It is in fact easy to deduce any of these asymptotic formulae from Euler-MacLaurin formula in dimension one, and we can formulate a result for any semi-rational polytope. **Our method is as usual the Brianchon-Gram decomposition. and "renormalization" in the space of rational functions with poles on an arrangement of hyperplanes.**

Integrals and Sums over a cone

V real vector space of dimension d , with a lattice Λ .
 c a cone, dual cone c^* with non empty interior.

$$I(c)(\xi) = \int_{x \in c} e^{\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx,$$

$$S(c)(\xi) = \sum_{x \in c \cap \Lambda} e^{\langle \xi, x \rangle}.$$

These functions are defined when ξ is the opposite of the dual cone c^* to c . For example if $c = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and $\xi < 0$, then

$$I(c)(\xi) = \int_{x \geq 0} e^{\xi x} dx = -\frac{1}{\xi}.$$

$$S(c)(\xi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{n\xi} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{\xi}}.$$

Laurent series of $S(\mathfrak{c})$

$I(\mathfrak{c})$ is a rational function of degree $-d$.

Define $[S(\mathfrak{c})] = \sum_{j=-d} S(\mathfrak{c})_{[j]}$ the decomposition of $S(\mathfrak{c})$ in sum of rational functions of homogenous degree j .

Example $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{c})(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{z_1})} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{z_1+z_2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{z_1(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2z_1} - \frac{1}{2(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Boundary values

If λ is an interior point in $-\mathfrak{c}^*$ (-interior of the dual cone), define

$$\lim_{\lambda} S(\mathfrak{c})(i\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(\mathfrak{c})(i\xi + \epsilon\lambda)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda} S(\mathfrak{c})_{\ell}(i\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(\mathfrak{c})_{\ell}(i\xi + \epsilon\lambda)$$

Define tempered distributions on V^* .

The Fourier transform of $\lim_{\lambda} S(\mathfrak{c})_{\ell}(i\xi)$ is a derivative of a locally polynomial function supported on \mathfrak{c} .

For example $\mathfrak{c} = [0, \infty]$; $\epsilon < 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon} \frac{1}{-(i\xi + \epsilon)} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i\xi x} dx.$$

The distribution $F(t)$ and its Fourier transform

We consider the cone c and the tempered distribution on V defined by

$$\langle F(c)(t), test \rangle = \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{x \in \Lambda \cap c} test(x/t).$$

It is easy to compute the Fourier transform of this distribution
This is

$$\lim_{\lambda} S(c)(i\xi/t)$$

If $t \rightarrow \infty$, ξ/t tends to 0, and it is tempting to use the Laurent series of the function $S(c)(\xi)$.

Theorem When $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lim_{\lambda} S(c)(i\xi/t) \equiv \sum_{j \geq 0} t^{-j} \lim_{\lambda} S(c)_j(i\xi).$$

Explicit formula

The proof of this theorem is obvious. It is clearly additive over cones, we decompose the cone c in unimodular cones and then we are reduced to dimension 1 and we use *EML* formula. Obvious then to deduce Guillemin-Sternberg formula from computing this, and using Brianchon-Gram decomposition. We want to be more explicit.

Renormalization

We consider the arrangement of hyperplanes $H = H(G)$ in V^* with equations $g_a(\xi) = 0$ with $g_a \in G \subset V$ the set of generators of the cone \mathfrak{c} . We denote by $R_G(V^*)$ the space of rational functions on V^* with poles contained in $H(G)$. Then the homogeneous components of $S(\mathfrak{c})$ are rational functions with poles on this arrangement of hyperplanes.

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of subspaces L of V generated by elements of G . If $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N]$ is a sequence of elements of G , the function $\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^N g_j(\xi)}$ is a function in $R_G(V^*)$. For a subspace L , consider the space B_L consisting of the linear span of these functions $\frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} g_a(\xi)}$ where the sequence g_a in the denominator generates the rational subspace $L : L = \bigoplus \mathbb{R}g_j$. Then B_L is a subspace of $R_G(V^*)$.

Subtracting the polar part

Let us choose a scalar product on V . Consider $\mathbb{C}[L^\perp]$ the space of polynomial functions on L^\perp . Using our scalar product, we can embed $\mathbb{C}[L^\perp]$ in $\mathbb{C}[V^*]$, the space of polynomial functions on V^* .

Proposition(De Concini+Procesi) We have the direct sum decomposition

$$R_G(V^*) = \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{S}} (\mathbb{C}[L^\perp] \otimes B_L)$$

Thus we write $\phi \in R_G(V^*)$ uniquely as $\phi = \sum_L T_L(\phi)$ where $T_L(\phi) \in (\mathbb{C}[L^\perp] \otimes B_L)$.

The holomorphic part

For $L = \{0\}$, the corresponding term $T_L(\phi)$ is thus a polynomial function on V^* . We denote the corresponding term by $Renorm(\phi)$ and we call the polynomial $Renorm(\phi)$ the renormalisation of the rational function ϕ . It depends of the choice of a scalar product. In one variable $f(z) = \sum a_i z^i$, and $Renorm(f) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i z^i$. In several variables, we need a scalar product to suppress the polar part (all B_L for $L \neq 0$).

Back to Example : $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{c})(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{z_1})} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{z_1+z_2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{z_1(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2z_1} - \frac{1}{2(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{(z_1 + z_2)} \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

BUT WE REWRITE

$$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{(z_1 + z_2)}$$

as

$$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \frac{1}{24} \frac{z_1 - z_2}{(z_1 + z_2)} + \frac{1}{24}$$

and the holomorphic part of $S(c)$ is

$$\text{renorm}(S(c)(z_1, z_2)) = 1/3 + 1/24 + \dots = 3/8 + \dots$$

Normal cones and Renormalization

f face of the cone c ; $\langle f \rangle$ linear span of $p - q$, p, q in f .

Normal cone $T(f, c)$ in $\langle f \rangle^\perp$ with lattice $\langle f \rangle^\perp \cap \langle f \rangle + \Lambda$

Definition $\mu(f, c)(\xi) = \text{Renorm}(S(T(f, c)))(\xi)$. This is a holomorphic function of the variable $\xi \in \langle f \rangle^\perp$.

MAIN THEOREM (Berline-Vergne, Paycha)

$$S(c)(\xi) = \sum_{\text{faces}} \mu(T(f, c))(\xi) I(f)(\xi)$$

As a corollary

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{x \in t\mathbf{c} \cap \Lambda} \text{test}(x/t)$$

$$\langle F(t), \text{test} \rangle \equiv \sum_{\text{faces}} \frac{1}{t^{\text{codim}f}} \sum_f \int_f \mu(f, \mathbf{c})(D/k) * \text{test}$$

Here D are the normal derivatives to the face f .

Example : Cone based on a square

EXAMPLE : let c with generators

$e_3 + e_1, e_3 - e_1, e_3 + e_2, e_3 - e_2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F(t), test \rangle &\equiv \int_c Test \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{2} \int_{boundary(c)} Test \\ &+ \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{2}{9} \int_{edges} Test - \frac{1}{36} \int_{boundary} N \cdot Test \right)\end{aligned}$$

where $N \cdot test$ is the normal derivative. N here is the primitive vector pointing inward.

$$\begin{aligned}&+ \frac{1}{t^3} \left((1/6) Test(0) - \frac{1}{24} \int_{edges} U * test \right) \\ &+ O(1/t^4).\end{aligned}$$

with $U = e_3 - e_1$ for the edge $e_3 + e_1$, etc...

For a polytope p with integral vertices

The formulae add up nicely using Brianchon-Gram decomposition.

If h is a smooth function on p then :

$$t^{-d} \sum_{x \in p \cap \Lambda/t} h(x) \equiv \sum_f t^{-\text{codim}(f)} \int_f (\mu(f, p)(1/t \partial_x) h)$$

when t is an integer going to ∞ .

This is the formula of Tatsuya Tate.

Asymptotic EML for a semi-rational polytope

Quite clear that if we use EML in dimension 1 with cones $[a, \infty]$ and a real, we obtain also an asymptotic formula with coefficients $f_j(t)/t^j \langle D_j, h \rangle$ and f_j semi-quasi polynomial and D_j explicit via the renoramization procedure.

Example : simplex dimension 2

For example, for the standard simple

$$p = \{x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\}$$

Here is the asymptotic series for

$$\langle S(t), test \rangle = \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{t \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} test(x/t)$$

and t **real**.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle S(t), test \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{p}} test \equiv \\
& = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{f_1} test + \frac{1}{2} \int_{f_2} test + \left(\frac{1 - 2 * \{t\}}{2} \int_{f_3} test \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{-1}{12} \int_{f_1} \partial_y test + \frac{-1}{12} \int_{f_2} \partial_x test \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{-1}{12} + \frac{1}{2} \{t\} - \frac{1}{2} \{t\}^2 \right) \int_{f_3} (-(\partial_x + \partial_y)/2) * test \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} test(0, 0) + (3/8 - (3/4)\{t\} + (1/4)\{t\}^2) test(1, 0) \right) \\
& \quad \quad \frac{1}{t^2} (3/8 - (3/4)\{t\} + (1/4)\{t\}^2) test(0, 1) \\
& \quad \quad + O(1/t^3)
\end{aligned}$$

In contrast to the 1-dimensional case, we don't know how to write a nice remainder in general.