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1 Short introduction

Dynamical billiard is a dynamical system corresponding to the inertial motion of a point mass within a region that has a piecewise smooth boundary with elastic reflections. Billiards appear as natural models in many problems of optics, acoustics and classical mechanics. The most prominent model of statistical mechanics, the Boltzmann gas of elastically colliding hard balls in a box can be easily reduced to a billiard.

The billiard dynamical system is generated by the free motion of a mass-point (called a billiard ball) subject to the elastic reflection in the boundary. This means that the point moves along a geodesic line with a constant (say, unit) speed until it hits the boundary. At a smooth boundary point the billiard ball reflects so that the tangential component of its velocity remains the same, while the normal component changes its sign. In dimension two this collision is described by a well known law of geometrical optics: the angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection. Thus the theory of billiards and the theory of geometrical optics have many features in common.

One of the interesting billiard tables having elliptic shape is given on Figure 6

Figure 1: Elliptic table

The simplest billiard table is a circular one. Let $\kappa = \kappa(O, r)$ is a circle with center $O$ and radius $r > 0$ (see Figure 2). If $S_0$ is a point on the circle $\kappa$ in Figure 2 and it is starting point of the ray having $S_1$ as next intersection point, then at this point $S_1$ we have a reflection with the angle of incidence $\alpha_1$ equals the angle of reflection $\beta_2$. After the reflection the ray continues and we have the next intersection point $S_2$ of the ray, where again a reflection occurs and we have

$$\alpha_2 = \beta_2.$$
Each trajectory is defined by the initial points $S_0$ and the points $S_1, S_2, \cdots$ of the reflection at the boundary (in this case the circle $\kappa$).

The first observation is that at the points of reflections $S_1, S_2, \cdots$ we have

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_2 = \cdots,$$

i.e. each trajectory makes a constant angle with the boundary (in this case is the circle $\kappa$).

On the other hand, the same trajectory remains tangent to a concentric circle. To clarify this fact we use the corresponding GeoGebra application.

In this application a new possibility of combining Java and GeoGebra is used (see the Appendix).

One can see that each trajectory remains tangent to a concentric circle.

If $S_0$ is a point on the ellipse in Figure 5 and it is starting point of the ray having $S_1$ as next intersection point, then at this point $S_1$ we have a reflection with the angle of incidence $\alpha$ equals the angle of reflection $\beta$. After the reflection the ray continues and we have the next intersection point $S_2$ of the ray, where again a reflection occurs.

Before we proceed any further we introduce a new concept.

**Definition 1.** A caustic of a plane billiard is a curve such that if a trajectory is tangent to it, then it again becomes tangent to it after every reflection.

Thus the billiard in a circle has a family of caustics, consisting of concentric circles.

The next case to consider is that of conics. Recall that an ellipse consists of points whose sum of distances to two given points is fixed; these two points are called the foci of an ellipse. An ellipse
can be constructed using a string, whose ends are fixed at the foci (the method carpenters and gardeners actually use). A hyperbola is defined similarly with the sum of distances replaced by the absolute value of their difference; and a parabola is the set of points at equal distances from a given point (the focus) and a given line (the directrice). Ellipses, hyperbolas and parabolas all have second order equations in Cartesian coordinates.
2 Some simple properties

The first result is the following optical property of ellipses.

**Lemma 1.** A ray of light, emanating from one focus, comes to another focus after a reflection in the ellipse. Said otherwise, the segments, that join a point of an ellipse with its foci, make equal angles with the ellipse.

*Proof.* Consider an extremal problem: given a line $\ell$ and two points $F_1$ and $F_2$ on one side of it, find a point $X$ on $\ell$ such that the distance $|F_1X| + |XF_2|$ is minimal. Solution: reflect $F_1$ in the line and join with $F_2$ by a straight segment.

The point of intersection with $\ell$ is $X$. It follows that the angles made by $F_1X$ and $F_2X$ with $\ell$ are equal. On the other hand, $X$ can be obtained as follows. Consider the family of ellipses with the fixed foci $F_1$ and $F_2$. Then $X$ is the point where an ellipse from this family touches $\ell$ for the first time. Hence $X$ is the point of tangency of an ellipse with the foci $F_1$ and $F_2$ and the line $\ell$.

Likewise one proves the optical properties of a hyperbola and a parabola. These properties are extensively used in construction of various optical instruments.

**Exercise 1.** If one puts a source of light in the focus of a parabolic mirror, then the reflected rays form a parallel beam (the property used in headlights’ design).

Ellipses and hyperbolas with the same foci are called confocal. In the appropriate Cartesian
coordinates \((x; y)\) they are given by the equation:

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2 + \lambda} + \frac{y^2}{b^2 + \lambda} = 1,
\]

with \(0 < a < b\). Here \(\lambda\) is the variable parameter; for \(-b^2 < \lambda < -a^2\) the curve is a hyperbola, and for \(-a^2 < \lambda\) it is an ellipse.

**Theorem 1.** An elliptic billiard table has a family of caustics, that consists of the confocal ellipses and hyperbolas. More precisely, if a segment of a billiard trajectory does not intersect the segment, joining foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\), then all the segments of this trajectory do not intersect \(F_1F_2\) and are all tangent to the same ellipse with foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\); if a segment of a trajectory intersects \(F_1F_2\), then all the segments of this trajectory intersect \(F_1F_2\) and are all tangent to the same hyperbola with foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\).

**Proof.** Let \(A_0A_1\) and \(A_1A_2\) be consecutive segments of a trajectory. Assume that \(A_0A_1\) does not intersect the segment \(F_1F_2\) (the other case is dealt with similarly). It follows from the optical property that the angles \(\angle A_0A_1F_1\) and \(\angle A_2A_1F_2\) are equal.

Reflect \(F_1\) in \(A_0A_1\) to \(F'_1\), and \(F_2\) in \(A_1A_2\) to \(F'_2\), and set: \(G = F'_1F_2 \cap A_0A_1; H = F'_2F_1 \cap A_1A_2\). Consider the ellipse with foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\), that is tangent to \(A_0A_1\). Since the angles \(\angle F_2GA_1\) and \(\angle F_1GA_0\) are equal, this ellipse touches \(A_0A_1\) at the point \(G\). Likewise an ellipse with foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) touches \(A_1A_2\) at the point \(H\). One wants to show that these two ellipses coincide, or, equivalently, that \(F_1B + BF_2 = F_1C + CF_2\), which boils down to \(F'_1F_2 = F_1F'_2\). To this end one observes that the triangles \(\triangle F'_1A_1F_2\) and \(\triangle F_1A_1F'_2\) are congruent:

\[
F'_1A_1 = F_1A_1; \quad F_2A_1 = F'_2A_1
\]

by symmetry, and the angles \(\angle F'_1A_1F_2\) and \(\angle F_1A_1F'_2\) are equal. Hence

\[
F'_1F_2 = F_1F'_2,
\]

and the result follows.
The following results have been obtained by using GeoGebra application. We have hyperbolic caustic on Figure 8 and elliptic ones on Figure 9.
3 Simplest periodic orbits in ellipse - triangles

As a first simulation one can use the Geogebra application to find triangle periodic orbit inside billiard table defined by the equation

$$e : \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1.$$  \hfill (2)

As a simplest initial point we choose the vertex of the triangle $\triangle A_0A_1A_2$ so that $A_0$ is on the $y$-axis and $A_0(0, b)$. Then it is natural to expect that if a periodic triangle exists, then (by symmetry with respect to $y$-axis) it has two equal sides ($A_0A_1 = A_0A_2$), see Figure 10.

However it is not clear how to find the point $A_1$ for example, since then $A_2$ is symmetric to $A_1$ with respect to the $y$-axis.

Recalling the assertion of Theorem 1, one can see that if $\triangle A_0A_1A_2$ is periodic, then its caustic is a confocal ellipse, say

$$e_1 : \frac{x^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{b_1^2} = 1.$$ \hfill (3)

The equation (2) shows now that the condition that $e$ and $e_1$ are confocal, i.e. have the same foci $F_1$ and $F_2$ can be expressed by

$$a^2 - b^2 = a_1^2 - b_1^2.$$ \hfill (4)
We shall suppose that $e_1$ is inside $e$ so we have $a > b > 0, a_1 > b_1 > 0, a > a_1, b > b_1$. In this way we can reformulate our question:

- Given point $A_0(0, b)$ find an ellipse $e_1$ of type (3) inside the ellipse $e$ so that the two tangents from $A_0$ to $e_1$ generate $\triangle A_0A_1A_2$ inscribed in $e$ and circumscribed around $e_1$ (see Figure 11).

![Figure 11: Caustic $e_1$ of the periodic triangle](image)

Even now the solution is not obvious and one should be very careful to avoid heavy and useless calculations that have no clear idea as a basis. So what to do? One can look in Internet and see that most of the documents found their are not very useful for High School teachers and students. Let us underline our main purpose: to use some concrete “TOOLS” as: algebraic manipulations, use of trigonometric functions, Geogebra applications and to try to pose and find solution to some interesting problems connected with the billiards on ellipse table.

So we try to generate several ”simpler” questions and then we shall try to connect them and to clarify our strategy to approach the main problem of this section: to construct at least one periodic triangle explicitly in a given ellipse (2).

One possible list of questions is the following:

- Given an ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and a line $y = kx + b$ through the point $A_0(0, b)$, find a necessary and sufficient condition (on $k, b, a_1, b_1$) so that the line is tangent to $e_1$;

- Given an ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and a line $y - y_0 = k(x - x_0)$ through any point $A_0(x_0, y_0)$, find a necessary and sufficient condition (on $k, x_0, y_0, a, b$) so that the line is tangent to $e_1$;

- Given an ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and the point $A_0(0, b)$ find the tangent lines from $A_0$ to $e_1$ and find also the points $A_1, A_2$ of the intersection of these tangent lines with the ellipse $e : x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1$ (we need formula expressing the coordinates of $A_1, A_2$ in terms of $a, b, a_1, b_1$);

- Given an ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and the points $A_1, A_2$ described in the previous step find a necessary and sufficient condition (on $a, b, a_1, b_1$) so that the line $A_1A_2$ is tangent to $e_1$;
• Using the relation of the previous step as well the fact that \( e, e_1 \) have the same foci, i.e. 
\[ a^2 - b^2 = a_1^2 - b_1^2 \] express \( a_1, b_1 \) in terms of \( a, b \).

Each of these steps is not very difficult and we give the main points in the solution leaving some of the repeated details to the reader. The results are ordered in few Lemmas presented in the Appendix.

4 Possible further steps to find more periodic triangles

One can use a trivial symmetry and to see the taking \( A'_0(0, -b) \) symmetric to \( A_0 \) with respect to the \( x \)-axis we have another periodic triangle symmetric to the original one \( \triangle A_0A_1A_2 \) from Lemma \( \Box \). The next step is to chose different starting point for the periodic trajectory and repeat the previous program.

• Given an ellipse \( e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1 \) and the point \( A_0(a, 0) \) denote by \( t_1, t_2 \) the tangent lines from \( A_0 \) to \( e_1 \) and by \( A_1, A_2 \) the points of the intersection of these tangent lines with the ellipse \( e : x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1 \), such that \( A_1(x_1, y_1), x_1 < 0, A_2(x_2, y_2), x_2 > 0 \). Try to find the coordinates of \( A_1, A_2 \);

• Given an ellipse \( e : x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1 \) and the point \( A_0(a, 0) \) try to find an ellipse \( e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1 \) and periodic triangle \( \triangle A_0A_1A_2 \) so that \( e_1 \) is the caustic of the periodic triangle. Try to express \( a_1, b_1 \) in terms of \( a, b \).

![Figure 12: Another initial point \( A_0 \)](image)

One can see that the expressions for \( a_1, b_1 \) are the same as the expressions from Lemma \( \Box \).

Another extremely interesting Geogebra application is the activation of animation button on the point \( A_0 \).

This simulation is very important since leads us to new open questions. We can make the following

**Conjecture 1.** If \( A_0 \) is ANY point on the ellipse \( e, \) the small ellipse \( e_1 \) is defined according to Lemma \( \Box \) and the two tangent lines to \( e_1 \) from \( A_0 \) intersect the ellipse \( e \) in points \( A_1A_2 \), then \( A_1A_2 \) is also tangent to \( e_1 \).
Figure 13: Surprise when $A_0$ is moving on $e$: tangent lines remain tangent to the caustic $e_1$.

This phenomena is closely connected with the Poncelet Porisms (see [3], [6]). A solution of this problem can be found in [5].

Another application that is crucial for the further study of the billiards on the ellipse is the activation of some measurement instruments of Geogebra and to evaluate how vary the following quantities, when $A_0$ moves on the "orbit" of $e$:

- perimeter of the periodic triangle;
- area of the periodic triangle;
- angles of the periodic triangle.

After making this experiment one can discover (unfortunately only numerically!) the next amazing property.

**Exercise 2.** If $A_0$ is ANY point on the ellipse $e$, then there exists a unique periodic triangle $\triangle A_0A_1A_2$ having constant perimeter, i.e. the perimeter is independent of position of the point $A_0$ on the ellipse $e$!!!

We are not prepared at this moment to solve this Exercise, but one can make the following steps to verify partially the conjecture.

- take $A_0(0, b)$ and compute the perimeter $P_1$ of the corresponding periodic triangle;
- take $A_0(a, 0)$ and compute the perimeter $P_3$ of the corresponding periodic triangle;
- compare $P_1$ and $P_2$.

One can verify that (see [4])

$$P_1 = P_2 = \frac{4a^2b(a + a_1)\sqrt{a^2 - a_1^2}}{b^2a_1^2 + a^2(a^2 - a_1^2)}.$$

5 Appendix I: Three technical lemmas

Lemma 2. Given an ellipse \( e_1 : \frac{x^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{b_1^2} = 1 \) one can express the necessary and sufficient condition such that the line \( y = kx + b \) through the point \( A_0(0, b) \) is tangent to \( e_1 \) as follows

\[
k^2 = \frac{b^2 - b_1^2}{a_1^2}.
\]

Proof. Substituting \( y \) by \( kx + b \) in (3) gives

\[
\frac{x^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{k^2x^2 + 2kbx + b^2}{b_1^2} = 1.
\]

The equation has only one real root so we need

\[
\frac{b^2k^2}{b_1^4} - \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2} + \frac{k^2}{b_1^2} \right) \frac{b^2}{b_1^2} = 0
\]

and this identity is equivalent to

\[
k^2 = \frac{b^2 - b_1^2}{a_1^2}.
\]

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 3. Given an ellipse \( e_1 : \frac{x^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{b_1^2} = 1 \) one can express the necessary and sufficient condition such that the line \( y - y_0 = k(x - x_0) \) through the point \( A_0(x_0, y_0) \) is tangent to \( e_1 \) as follows

\[
(y_0 - kx_0)^2 = b_1^2 + k^2a_1^2.
\]

Lemma 4. Given an ellipse \( e_1 : \frac{x^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{b_1^2} = 1 \) and the point \( A_0(0, b) \) denote by \( t_1, t_2 \) the tangent lines from \( A_0 \) to \( e_1 \) and by \( A_1, A_2 \) the points of the intersection of these tangent lines with the ellipse \( e : \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \), such that \( A_1(x_1, y_1), x_1 < 0, A_2(x_2, y_2), x_2 > 0 \). Then we have

\[
x_1 = \frac{-2a^2a_1b\sqrt{b^2 - b_1^2}}{a_1^2b^2 + a^2(b^2 - b_1^2)} = -x_2,
\]

\[
y_1 = M = y_2, \quad M = \frac{b(a_1^2b^2 - a^2(b^2 - b_1^2))}{a_1^2b^2 + a^2(b^2 - b_1^2)}.
\]

Proof. Substituting \( y \) by \( kx + b \) in (2), we find the equation

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{k^2x^2 + 2kbx + b^2}{b^2} = 1.
\]

One of the roots is obviously 0 and the other root is

\[
x_1 = \frac{-2ka^2b}{b(b^2 + k^2a^2)} = \frac{-2ka^2b}{(b^2 + k^2a^2)}.
\]

Using the relation

\[
y = kx + b
\]

we obtain the expression for \( y_1 \)

\[
y_1 = \frac{b(b^2 - k^2a^2)}{b^2 + k^2a^2} = \frac{b(a_1^2b^2 - a^2(b^2 - b_1^2))}{a_1^2b^2 + a^2(b^2 - b_1^2)}.
\]

This completes the proof.
From the relation $M = b_1$ and the fact that $e$ and $e_1$ are confocal can be used to prove the following.

**Lemma 5.** Given an ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and the point $A_0(0,b)$ let $A_1(x_1,y_1), x_1 < 0, A_2(x_2,y_2), x_2 > 0$ are the points determined in Lemma 4. Then $A_1A_2$ is tangent to $e_1$ if and only if
\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= a\frac{\sqrt{a^4 - a^2b^2 + b^4} - b^2}{a^2 - b^2}, \\
b_1 &= b\frac{a^2 - \sqrt{a^4 - a^2b^2 + b^4}}{a^2 - b^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

To avoid accumulation of too much technical proofs of lemmas we quote [4] for the proof of this Lemma.

Practically, Lemma 5 answers the question to find the caustic of the periodic triangles in a given ellipse $e$. Since it seems to be difficult to find this simple answer in the literature or in Internet we made this effort to give the answer with completely elementary tools (algebraic manipulations only). One can compare this answer with the classical results due to Cayley in [1], [2], where elliptic integrals are used. The result is useful and can be used in some of algorithms in GeoGebra (or some other IT tools) applications connected with billiard tables. In this direction we can mention the following difficulty met when one tries to implement Java scripts in GeoGebra and simulate a non-periodic trajectory with $N \gg 1$ reflecting points. It turns out that the construction of bisectrix as GeoGebra tool combined with Java script causes some limitation on $N$, $N \leq 100$.

We close the Appendix with the following variant of Lemma 5.

**Lemma 6.** Given an ellipse $e : x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1$ and the point $A_0(0,b)$ one can find a unique ellipse $e_1 : x^2/a_1^2 + y^2/b_1^2 = 1$ and a unique periodic triangle $\Delta A_0A_1A_2$ so that $e_1$ is the caustic of the periodic triangle. Moreover, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= a\frac{\sqrt{s^4 - s^2 + 1} - s^2}{1 - s^2}, \\
b_1 &= b\frac{1 - \sqrt{s^4 - s^2 + 1}}{1 - s^2},
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
s = \frac{b}{a} \in (0,1).
\]

### 6 Appendix 2: Java applet

GeoGebra applets use Java technology to combine an interactive geometry environment with the ability to directly enter equations and coordinates making it very useful in math education and math explorations. The basic idea of GeoGebras interface is to provide two presentations of each mathematical object in its algebra and graphics windows. If you change an object in one of these windows, its presentation in the other one will be immediately updated. Computer algebra systems (such as Mathematica, Maple, and so on, e.g.) and dynamic geometry software (such as Geometers Sketchpad, Cabri Geometry, and so on, e.g.) are powerful technological tools for teaching mathematics. Numerous research results suggest that these software packages can be used to encourage discovery, experimentation and visualization in traditional teaching of mathematics. However, researches suggest that, for the majority of teachers, the main problem is how to provide the technology necessary for the successful integration of technology into teaching.
GeoGebra has been rapidly gaining popularity among teachers and researchers around the world, because it is easy-to-use dynamic mathematics software that combines many aspects of different mathematical packages. In addition, because of its open-source nature, an extensive user community has developed around it.

GeoGebra has some possibilities for animation. Including more modules for animating in GeoGebra should become an important technical element for future versions. Future extensions of the software GeoGebra will surely include more symbolic features of computer algebra systems which will further increase possible complex applications in the mathematical analysis, and 3D extensions.

A problem that we met is connected with the use of Java applets to produce high number of reflecting points in the billiard problem.

We use the following script associated to the slider in the program that has the following form

```javascript
function stopAll(){
ggbApplet.evalCommand("StartAnimation\[false\]");
ggbApplet.setAnimating("slider",false);
ggbApplet.setValue("slider",100);}
var i= new Number(ggbApplet.getValue("slider"));
var lim = new Number(ggbApplet.getValue("n"));
if(i==0){
ggbApplet.evalCommand("a_{0}=Vettore[S_{"+i+"},S_{"+(i+1)+"}]\);
ggbApplet.setLineStyle("a_{0}",4);
ggbApplet.setColor("a_{0}",255,0,0);
}
else if(i>=lim){
    stopAll();}
else if(ggbApplet.exists("S_{"+(i)+"}\)){
    if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("bis_{(i)+"}="Bisettrice[F_1,S_{"+(i)+"},F_2]\)){
        ggbApplet.setVisible("bis_{(i)}",false);
        if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("B_{(i)+"}="Intersezione[c,bis_{(i)+"},2]\)){
            ggbApplet.setVisible("B_{(i)}",false);
        }else if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("alpha_{(i)}="Angolo[S_{"+(i-1)+"},S_{"+(i)+"},B_{(i)+"}]\)){
            ggbApplet.setVisible("alpha_{(i)}",false);
        }else if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("R_{(i)}="Ruota[S_{"+(i)+"},2alpha_{(i)+"},S_{"+(i)+"}]\)){
            ggbApplet.setVisible("R_{(i)}",false);
        }else if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("aa_{(i)}="Semiretta[S_{"+(i)+"},R_{(i)+"}]\)){
            ggbApplet.setVisible("aa_{(i)}",false);
        }else if(ggbApplet.evalCommand("S_{"+(i+1)+"}="Intersezione[c,aa_{(i)+"},2]\)){
            ggbApplet.setPointSize("S_{"+(i+1)+"},1);
            ggbApplet.setLabelVisible("S_{"+(i+1)+"},false)
        }
    }
}
```
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